WHAT NOT DOBATINATION DOBATINATION HE ACCEPTABILITY OF WORDS IN BROADCASTING NGAKUPU AGAKUPU AGAKUPU CONTACTOR



BROADCASTING STANDARDS AUTHORITY PO BOX 9213 | WELLINGTON 6141 NEW ZEALAND PHONE: (04) 382 9508 | FAX: (04) 382 9543 EMAIL: INFO@BSA.GOVT.NZ INFOLINE: 0800 366 996

WWW.BSA.GOVT.NZ



FINDINGS OF RESEARCH CONDUCTED BY NIELSEN



WWW.NIELSEN.COM

CONTENTS

Executive Summary and Conclusions	5
Needs Assessment	7
Research Design	8
Acceptability of Words in Broadcasting	11
Acceptability of Words in Different Broadcasting Scenarios	18
Acceptability of Words on Pay Television	24
Respondent Profile	26
Appendix I – Your Voice Panel	30
Appendix II – Other Unacceptable Words (Verbatim)	32
Appendix III – Questionnaire	34
Appendix IV – Nielsen Quality Assurance	43
Appendix V – Company Information	44

Opinion Statement

Nielsen certifies that the information contained in this report has been compiled in accordance with sound market research methods and principles, as well as proprietary methodologies developed by, or for, Nielsen. Nielsen believes that this report represents a fair, accurate and comprehensive analysis of the information collected, with all sampled information subject to normal statistical variance.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Needs Assessment	This report documents the findings of a national survey carried out in November and December 2009 among 1500 members of the general public aged 18 years and over.
	This survey measured how acceptable the public finds the use of swear words, blasphemies and other expletives in broadcasting.
	Where possible, comparisons have been made with surveys conducted in 1999 and 2005 to help determine whether public attitudes are changing over time.
Acceptability of words in Broadcasting	A total of 31 words were presented to respondents, 23 that were included in the 2005 survey and eight new words or phrases: Jesus Fucking Christ, Get fucked, Fuck off, Faggot, Retard, Slut, Jesus and Piss off.
	At least half the respondents rated eight words as <i>Totally</i> or <i>Fairly unacceptable</i> in relation to the scenario of a television drama shown after 8.30pm. These were: Cunt (74%), Nigger (66%), Mother Fucker (66%), Jesus Fucking Christ (65%), Cocksucker (60%), Get fucked (55%), Fuck off (52%) and Fuck (51%).
	The least contentious words, rated as <i>Totally</i> or <i>Fairly acceptable</i> in this scenario by around one in ten respondents, were: Bugger (11%), Bloody (12%) and Bollocks (12%).
Comparisons with 2005 and 1999	In general, the order of the words found to be the most offensive to the least offensive remain largely the same as found in 2005 and in 1999. However, six of the eight words added in 2009 ranked among the top twelve most unacceptable words (the exceptions being Jesus and Piss off).
	For 14 of the 23 words included in both the 2005 and 2009 surveys, there has been a statistically significant decrease in the proportion who find these words unacceptable in the scenario in question. A similar trend existed between the 1999 and 2005 surveys (12 of 23 words experienced a significant decrease).
	These 14 words are: Fuck, Whore, Arsehole, Jesus Christ, Prick, Bitch, Bastard, Shit, Balls, Bullshit, Crap, Bollocks, Bloody, and Bugger.
	This indicates a continuing trend of softening of attitudes to the use of certain swear words in broadcasting, particularly to those words that are less contentious. The most obvious exception to this trend is attitudes to the word Cunt; this word remains unacceptable to a large majority of New Zealanders (74%).
Differences	When comparing different demographic groups, it is evident that, in general:
amongst sub	 Males tend to be more accepting of words than females
groups	• Younger respondents tend to be more accepting than older respondents
	 Those that state they have no religion tend to be more accepting than those of religious belief
	• Those of New Zealand European ethnicity are generally more accepting than those in the Māori, Pacific Island and Asian ethnic groups.

Use in different contexts In 2009, respondents were asked to consider the acceptability of words in 10 different contexts. There were some notable patterns that emerged, including:

- Use of 'bad' language by **radio hosts**, in both breakfast programmes and talkback scenarios, is less acceptable than in other scenarios
- Related to the above, there appears less tolerance for use of 'bad' language from **real people** (as opposed to actors), including interviewees and callers to radio talkback
- Use of 'bad' language is generally more acceptable in the context of standup comedy and television dramas played after 8.30pm and in reality TV where there is considerable spontaneous content
- 'Bad' language tends to be more acceptable when used **after 8.30pm** than before 8.30pm.

There was no differentiation made by respondents in relation to acceptability of such language in general between:

- Songs played on radio and music videos on television
- Radio host in a breakfast programme and radio host on talkback.

The majority (68%) hold the same views in relation to acceptability of language, irrespective of whether a broadcast is free-to-air or pay-to-view.

NEEDS ASSESSMENT

Background Researching matters relating to standards in broadcasting is one of the statutory functions of the BSA.

The Broadcasting Act of 1989 imposes a responsibility on all broadcasters to maintain standards, including the observance of good taste and decency, the maintenance of law and order, the privacy of the individual, the protection of children, the requirement for broadcasts to be accurate, fair and balanced, and for broadcasters to have safeguards against the portrayal of persons in programmes in a manner which encourages denigration or discrimination.

Research into community attitudes is one of the ways by which the Authority determines community norms, which in turn help to guide its deliberative processes, thereby enhancing the integrity of decision-making.

Nielsen was commissioned to assist the Authority with research to provide a monitor of the acceptability of the use of swear words, blasphemies and other expletives in broadcasting.

Community attitudes to language were also measured in previous research carried out in 1999 and 2005.

Research Objectives The research objectives were as follows:

- To what extent does the public find the use of specific words in broadcasting acceptable or unacceptable?
- To what extent does acceptability vary according to context?
- To what extent does acceptability vary according to whether or not the broadcast is on pay television?

The focus of this survey was on free-to-air rather than pay-to-view broadcasting.

RESEARCH DESIGN

Methodology Approach

Interviews were completed with members of the general public between 27 November and 12 December 2009. A total of 1500 interviews was achieved.

For this survey, an online methodology was adopted, utilising Nielsen's Your Voice Online Panel. For the previous two measures, a face-to-face method had been used.

The average interview took approximately 20 minutes to complete.

Respondents

The eligible respondent was a member of the general public, aged 18 years and over, selected at random on Nielsen's Your Voice panel.

Sample Design

To ensure a good representation of the general New Zealand population, aged 18 years and over, the sampling frame (Your Voice Panel) was stratified by region, age group, gender and ethnicity.

Quotas were set independently for four broad groups for region and two groups for gender. Four broad age groups were interlocked by four ethnic groups (NZ European/ Other, Māori, Pacific Peoples and Asian).

A random sample was selected to take part in the survey based on the stratification used as above.

Weighting

The data was also weighted by age within ethnicity, gender and region to ensure the sample accurately reflected the New Zealand population, aged 18 years and over.

Discussion of Change in Method

The primary **benefits** of changing from a face-to-face method to an online method for this survey were as follows:

- The functionality and layout benefits offered by the online platform allowed us to explore the acceptability of 31 words in a variety of contexts. There would have been significant risk to the quality of the information if this task had been carried out via any other method (due to respondent fatigue and boredom)
- It future-proofed this survey, as the cost of face-to-face interviewing will continue to increase in future
- The cost was considerably less

The main **limitations** of changing to an online methodology were:

• Comparisons with earlier studies need to be made with a greater degree of caution

- The online approach only includes people with access to the internet however, this is becoming less of a limitation as time goes on (76% of the population have access to the internet at home now¹). This issue is managed to an extent by the setting of quotas for surveys according to known population statistics (in this case, gender, age, ethnicity, location)
- The online approach involves the use of online panels people who have opted in to taking part in online surveys. These people may be different in their attitudes and behaviours than those that are not on online panels. There are means of controlling for this limitation and these are detailed in Appendix 1 of this report. However, it must also be remembered that every method has a similar limitation (expressed as the refusal rate). For example, in the 2005 BSA survey that included questions on swear words and that was conducted by face to face interviewing, to achieve 500 interviews we initially approached 1271 people so 60% of these people 'opted out' of this research by refusing to take part.
- The segments of the population on online panels can be different to the same segments who are not online, in terms of attitudes, behaviours etc. This can be true, depending on the topic in question. For this survey, there was potentially a risk that, for example, elderly people on our online panel are more connected, more up-to-date than those elderly who are not online and it is possible that they may have a more lenient, accepting attitude to the use of language. However, this is no different from the argument that the responses of those who refuse to take part in surveys irrespective of survey method may be different to those who do take part, and therefore is a non-sampling error that cannot be measured.

There are some indicators in this survey that give us confidence that it is valid to make comparisons with earlier studies despite the change in method. These are:

- The same overall trends in media usage change over time, as measured by Nielsen Media in face-to-face interviewing, are evident in our survey (stability or slight increase in TV viewing, slight decline in radio listening)
- A similar proportion of our sample claim to have pay TV than among the general public (54% in our survey, 47% from Nielsen Media)
- The change in levels of acceptability in swear words between the 2005 survey and the 2009 online survey mirrors a similar trend noted between the 2005 and 1999 surveys (both carried out face-to-face)
- There was one word that was deemed slightly more unacceptable than in 2005 helping alleviate the concern that online respondents may have more lenient views than the population in general.

Margins of Error

All sample surveys are subject to sampling error. Based on a total sample size of 1500 respondents, the results shown in this survey are subject to a maximum error of plus or minus 2.5% at the 95% confidence level. That is, there is a 95% chance that the true population value of a recorded figure of 50% actually lies between 52.5% and 47.5%. As the sample figure moves further away from 50%, so the error margin will decrease.

When comparing results to previous years, the margin of error will be slightly higher.

Positioning of Survey	The survey was positioned in the following way to assist respondents in expressing their honest views without reservation:
	Today we are conducting a very important survey for an organisation called the Broadcasting Standards Authority. The Broadcasting Standards Authority oversees the standards of radio and television broadcasting in New Zealand. To be able to carry out their role, they need to determine what the New Zealand public finds acceptable and unacceptable in terms of what is broadcast on television and radio.
	This particular survey is about how acceptable you personally find the use of certain language or swear words on television and radio. There are no right or wrong answers; we just need your honest, personal opinion. While some people may find some of the words included in this survey offensive, and others may not, we strongly encourage everyone to take part so that we provide the Broadcasting Standards Authority with an accurate picture of what New Zealanders think.
	For this survey, please note that that we want to focus only on what is acceptable in broadcasting that is free to the viewer or listener such as TV One, TV2, TVNZ6, TVNZ7, TV3, C4, Maori TV and Prime TV. We are not asking you about what is acceptable on pay television such as those channels you can only view if you have a subscription to SKY TV or TelstraClear.
Notes to Report	• When a result is discussed in the text of this report relating to the proportion who found a particular word unacceptable, this refers to those who rated a particular word either ' <i>Fairly unacceptable</i> ' or ' <i>Totally unacceptable</i> '.
	 Also note that the change in methodology should be kept in mind when comparing the results to the 2005 survey.

ACCEPTABILITY OF WORDS IN BROADCASTING

Introduction

This section reports the findings of the New Zealand public's attitudes to various words. Respondents were given the following scenario:

"Firstly, imagine each word being used in a television movie, in a scene where police have chased and are arresting a criminal. The criminal is swearing at the police. **The television** movie is screened after 8.30pm."

This was the scenario first used in 1999 and repeated in 2005.

A total of 31 words or phrases were then presented in rotated order and respondents rated how acceptable or unacceptable each word would be if used in this particular scenario on the following 5-point scale: *Totally acceptable, Fairly acceptable, Neither acceptable nor unacceptable, Fairly unacceptable* and *Totally unacceptable*.

Most of the 31 words tested were included in the 2005 survey. However, in 2009, the following eight words or phrases were added:

- Jesus Fucking Christ
- Get fucked
- Fuck off
- Faggot
- Retard
- Slut
- Jesus
- Piss off

The charts in the following analysis are presented as follows:
 The words are ordered in terms of the proportion of respondents who described the use of each word as unacceptable in this scenario (<i>Fairly</i> or <i>Totally unacceptable</i>)
 Where there is a significant difference between the 2005 result and the 2009 result for a particular word, this word is annotated with a **
• If a word is new in the 2009 survey, this word is annotated with a ^
Usage of the words Cunt, Nigger, Mother Fucker, Jesus Fucking Christ, Cocksucker and Fuck, as well as derivatives of Fuck, are felt to be unacceptable to use in drama after 8.30pm by over half of respondents.
Of the eight new words included in 2009, four appear in the top 10 most unacceptable words (Jesus Fucking Christ, Get fucked, Fuck off and Faggot). Two others (Retard and Slut) appear in 11 th and 12 th place respectively.



Ranking of unacceptability of words

Ranking of unacceptability of words, continued





Ranking of unacceptability of words, continued

Comparisons with earlier studies

The following table compares the proportion of respondents who found each word unacceptable (*Totally unacceptable or Fairly unacceptable*) over the last three measures (2009, 2005 and 1999). The words are ranked from those most unacceptable to least unacceptable. **Please note the change in methodology which means direct comparisons should be treated with caution.**

In general, the words ranked most unacceptable in 2005 and in 1999 remain the words ranked most unacceptable today. Alternatively, the words deemed relatively less offensive in 2005 remain the less offensive words in 2009.

For 14 of the 23 words included in the 2005 and 2009 surveys, there has been a statistically significant decrease in the proportion of respondents who find that word unacceptable in the scenario in question. A similar trend existed between the 1999 and 2005 surveys (12 of the 23 words experienced a significant decrease). This indicates a continuing trend of a softening in attitudes to the use of swear words in broadcasting. The cause of, or contributors to, this softening in attitudes is not able to be identified from this research. However, one hypothesis may be that desensitisation is occurring through greater exposure to these words.

One obvious exception to this softening of attitudes is use of the word Cunt, where there has been a slight but not statistically significant increase in the proportion describing this word as unacceptable (from 70% to 74%).

The 14 words that have seen a significant decrease in the proportion who rated them unacceptable compared to 2005 are bolded in the following table. Note that the majority of these words are towards the bottom of the list.

Words	2009 (%) n=1500	2005 (%) n=500	1999 (%) n=500
Cunt	74	70	79
Nigger	66	70	72
Mother Fucker	66	68	78
Jesus Fucking Christ^	65	-	-
Cocksucker	60	64	-
Get fucked^	55	-	-
Fuck off^	52	-	-
Fuck**	51	58	70
Faggot^	46	-	-
Cock	46	50	58
Retard*^	44	-	-
Slut^	43	-	-
Whore**	40	46	55
Wanker	37	38	48
Arsehole**	35	41	49
Jesus Christ**	31	40	41
Dick	30	33	40
Prick**	27	35	43
Jesus^	27	-	-
Piss**	26	32	38
Bitch**	26	38	42
God	24	25	34
Piss off^	24	-	-
Bastard**	23	33	36
Shit**	22	27	31
Balls**	21	27	33
Bullshit**	17	24	28
Crap**	14	21	23
Bollocks**	12	23	20
Bloody**	12	17	17
Bugger**	11	16	16

*Smaller base size (n=988) due to late addition to survey

**Significantly lower than in 2005

^Not asked in previous measures

Other unacceptable	We gave respondents the opportunity to write down any other words that they personally found unacceptable that were not included in the list of 31 words.
words	A variety of comments was made and can be found in Appendix II.
Differences	When comparing different demographic groups, it is evident that, in general:
amongst sub groups	 Males tend to be more accepting of words than females
910000	 Younger respondents tend to be more accepting than older respondents
	 Those that state they have no religion tend to be more accepting than those of religious belief.
	 Those of New Zealand European ethnicity are generally more accepting than those in the Māori, Pacific Island and Asian ethnic groups.
	This is demonstrated in the following analysis of significant sub-group differences for the five most unacceptable words only, but the trend is evident across almost all of the words.
	Those who find <i>Cunt</i> significantly more unacceptable are:
	• Female (83%)
	• Aged 65 years and over (88%)
	• Aged 55-64 years (82%)
	• Those of Christian belief (81%)
	Those who find <i>Cunt</i> significantly less unacceptable are:
	• Male (64%)
	• Aged 15-24 years (51%)
	• Aged 25-34 years (64%)
	• Those who have no religion (66%)
	Those who find Nigger significantly more unacceptable are:
	• Female (77%)
	• Aged 55-64 years (72%)
	• Of Pacific ethnicity (81%)
	Those who find Nigger significantly less unacceptable are:
	• Male (52%)
	• Aged 15-24 years (49%)
	• Those who have no religion (60%)
	Those who find Mother Fucker significantly more unacceptable are:
	• Female (73%)
	• Aged 65 years and over (84%)
	• Aged 55-64 years (78%)
	• Of Asian ethnicity (83%)
	Those of Christian belief (76%)

Those who find *Mother Fucker* significantly less unacceptable are:

- Male (57%)
- Aged 15-24 years (41%)
- Aged 25-34 years (55%)
- Those who have no religion (52%)
- Those who have a household income of over \$70,000 (58%)

Those who find *Jesus Fucking Christ* significantly more unacceptable are:

- Female (72%)
- Aged 65 years and over (84%)
- Aged 55-64 years (76%)
- Of Asian ethnicity (79%)
- Those of Christian belief (81%)

Those who find *Jesus Fucking Christ* significantly less unacceptable are:

- Male (57%)
- Aged 15-24 years (47%)
- Aged 25-34 years (56%)
- Those who have no religion (46%)
- Those who have a household income of over \$100,000 (55%)

Those who find *Cocksucker* significantly more unacceptable are:

- Female (69%)
- Aged 65 years and over (78%)
- Aged 55-64 years (71%)
- Of Asian ethnicity (78%)
- Those of Christian belief (70%)

Those who find *Cocksucker* significantly less unacceptable are:

- Male (49%)
- Aged 15-24 years (36%)
- Aged 25-34 years (49%)
- Those who have no religion (47%)
- Those who have a household income of over \$100,000 (52%)
- Those who have a household income of over \$70,000-\$100,000 (53%)

There were no significant differences found between those personably responsible for children under the age of 14 and those who are not.

It is also interesting to note that Māori respondents' level of unacceptability was found to be similar to the general population. Thus there were few significant differences for the Māori sub group. Comparing across ethnicities, they were generally on par with levels of unacceptability for New Zealand Europeans. This is shown in the following table.

Words	2009 (%) n=1500	NZ European n=1122	Māori n=149	Pacific n=62	Asian n=133	Other n=121
Cunt	74	75	66	69	72	73
Nigger	66	64	71	81	73	65
Mother Fucker	66	62	61	69	83	72
Jesus Fucking Christ^	65	61	65	77	79	70
Cocksucker	60	57	55	58	78	65
Get fucked^	55	52	52	61	74	61
Fuck off^	52	49	48	56	65	59
Fuck**	51	49	48	56	62	59
Faggot^	46	43	48	55	56	49
Cock	46	41	45	50	67	52
Retard*^	44	43	43	50	42	51
Slut^	43	38	49	64	58	47
Whore**	40	36	42	57	60	41
Wanker	37	33	38	51	56	40
Arsehole**	35	31	33	47	54	39
Jesus Christ**	31	30	29	59	22	34
Dick	30	26	29	45	52	35
Prick**	27	25	20	41	38	28
Jesus^	27	26	26	54	18	30
Piss**	26	23	24	38	44	26
Bitch**	26	22	31	41	44	31
God	24	23	25	50	19	25
Piss off^	24	21	20	38	39	22
Bastard**	23	20	26	37	42	23
Shit**	22	19	23	44	34	25
Balls**	21	17	17	38	41	25
Bullshit**	17	15	17	41	27	21
Crap**	14	12	10	30	21	17
Bollocks**	12	9	10	30	26	19
Bloody**	12	10	11	33	22	13
Bugger**	11	8	8	29	21	15

*Smaller base size (n=988) due to late addition to survey

**Significantly lower than in 2005 (total results)

^Not asked in previous measures

ACCEPTABILITY OF WORDS IN DIFFERENT BROADCASTING SCENARIOS

Introduction

The previous section summarised the public's attitudes to how acceptable the use of particular words and phrases is considered in relation to one scenario. This scenario has been consistently used for the past three measures.

The switch to an online methodology provided an opportunity to collect detailed information from respondents without compromising the quality of information. Thus, it allowed exploration of how the acceptability of specific words might vary according to the context in which they are used in broadcasting.

All the scenarios were initially presented and respondents were required to read these scenarios before progressing to the next stage of the process.

The ten scenarios presented were:

- 1. People being interviewed or asked to give opinions in news, documentaries and current events programmes on TV or radio
- 2. In a television drama screened after 8.30pm (e.g. Grey's Anatomy, Criminal Minds)
- 3. In a television drama or comedy screened between 7pm and 8.30pm (e.g. Shortland Street, Coronation Street, Two and a Half Men)
- 4. Comedians in stand-up comedy programmes played on radio or shown on TV after 8.30pm
- 5. In a reality television programme where a lot of the content is spontaneous and does not follow a script (e.g. Hell's Kitchen, Border Patrol, The Apprentice)
- 6. In a music video played on television
- 7. When used by a caller to a talkback radio station
- 8. When used by a radio host on a talkback radio station
- 9. When used by a radio host in a breakfast programme
- 10. When used in a song played on radio.

Respondents were required to perform the following inter-active task for each of the 31 words and phrases considered.

"With the 10 programmes or situations you have just considered in mind, please now sort the following words into three buckets, depending on whether you feel a particular word is totally acceptable in any of these situations, totally unacceptable in any of these situations or whether it depends on the situation being considered.

Just click on the word, then drag it and drop it into one of the three buckets. You can put none, some or all of the words in any bucket" [Respondents could drag the words into one of three buckets on screen]

Following this, respondents considered each of the words they had placed in the 'depends on the scenario' bucket. For each of the 10 scenarios, they then rated whether use of that word was *Totally acceptable*, *Fairly acceptable*, *Neither acceptable nor unacceptable*, *Fairly unacceptable* or *Totally unacceptable*.

Scenarios

The following table shows that there are five words (out of a list of 31) that were felt to be *Totally unacceptable in ALL scenarios* by over half the respondents.

On the contrary, there are also four words considered *Totally acceptable in ALL scenarios* by the majority of respondents; namely: Bloody, Bugger, Bollocks and Crap.

Acceptability of many other words varies according to context, with most variation in relation to the words Retard, Whore and Bitch.

Words	rds % Totally unacceptable in % ALL scenarios th		% Totally acceptable in ALL scenarios		
Cunt	70	24	7		
Nigger	56	37	7		
Mother Fucker	61	33	6		
Jesus Fucking Christ	63	29	8		
Cocksucker	58	34	8		
Get fucked	48	44	8		
Fuck off	42	50	8		
Fuck	40	51	8		
Faggot	39	50	12		
Cock	35	50	15		
Retard	26	63	10		
Slut	32	54	14		
Whore	28	58	14		
Wanker	26	54	20		
Arsehole	23	56	21		
Jesus Christ	26	46	28		
Dick	17	48	36		
Prick	19	53	28		
Jesus	23	43	34		
Piss	12	51	37		
Bitch	16	57	27		
God	18	40	42		
Piss off	13	53	34		
Bastard	14	55	32		
Shit	11	52	37		
Balls	13	44	44		
Bullshit	12	50	38		
Crap	8	39	53		
Bollocks	11	38	52		
Bloody	7	34	59		
Bugger	8	34	58		

There were some notable patterns to emerge in terms of context. These are:

- Use of 'bad' language by **radio hosts**, in both breakfast programmes and talkback scenarios, is less acceptable than in other scenarios
- Related to the above, there appears less tolerance for use of 'bad' language from **real people** (as opposed to actors) including interviewees and callers to radio talkback
- Higher standards are expected of talkback radio hosts than of callers
- Use of such language is generally more acceptable in the context of standup comedy and television dramas played after 8.30pm and in reality TV where there is considerable spontaneous content
- 'Bad' language tends to be more acceptable when used **after 8.30pm** than before 8.30pm.

There was no differentiation made by respondents in relation to acceptability of such language in general between:

- Songs played on radio and music videos on television
- Radio hosts in breakfast programme and radio hosts on talkback.

Acceptability of many other words varies according to context, with most variation evident in relation to the words Retard, Whore and Bitch

The following three tables illustrate the degree to which use of each of these three words is considered acceptable in specific contexts.

Rating for <i>Retard</i> Scenario	% Unacceptable	% Neither	% Acceptable
When used by a radio host in a breakfast programme	65	10	25
When used by a radio host on a talkback radio station	63	11	25
People being interviewed or asked to give opinions	59	10	31
In a television drama or comedy screened between 7pm and 8.30pm	59	10	31
In a music video played on television	59	12	29
When used by a caller to a talkback radio station	59	13	29
When used in a song played on radio	59	12	29
In a reality television programme	54	11	34
In a television drama screened after 8.30pm	53	10	37
Comedians in stand-up comedy programmes played on radio or shown on TV after 8.30pm	51	9	39

Rating for <i>Whore</i> Scenario	% Unacceptable	% Neither	% Acceptable
When used by a radio host in a breakfast programme	65	10	25
When used by a radio host on a talkback radio station	63	11	26
People being interviewed or asked to give opinions	58	12	29
In a television drama or comedy screened between 7pm and 8.30pm	57	12	31
In a music video played on television	56	11	33
When used by a caller to a talkback radio station	56	12	32
When used in a song played on radio	55	11	33
In a reality television programme	49	13	38
In a television drama screened after 8.30pm	43	10	46
Comedians in stand-up comedy programmes played on radio or shown on TV after 8.30pm	42	10	48

Rating for <i>Bitch</i> Scenario	% Unacceptable	% Neither	% Acceptable
When used by a radio host in a breakfast programme	51	10	38
When used by a radio host on a talkback radio station	48	11	41
People being interviewed or asked to give opinions	41	12	48
In a television drama or comedy screened between 7pm and 8.30pm	40	11	49
In a music video played on television	39	10	51
When used by a caller to a talkback radio station	39	13	48
When used in a song played on radio	38	12	49
In a reality television programme	29	8	62
In a television drama screened after 8.30pm	26	7	67
Comedians in stand-up comedy programmes played on radio or shown on TV after 8.30pm	26	7	67

Acceptability of words used on TV

The following table summarises the proportion of respondents who considered each word unacceptable across the scenarios that related to television.

% Totally or Fairly unacceptable Word	People being interviewed (TV or Radio)	TV drama (after 8.30pm)	TV drama (between 7pm and 8.30pm)	Stand-up comedy programmes after 8.30pm (TV or Radio)	Reality TV	Music Video (on TV)
Arsehole	49	31	52	31	34	47
Balls	25	18	27	18	20	27
Bastard	33	21	36	22	25	36
Bitch	40	26	39	26	29	38
Bloody	15	12	16	12	13	17
Bollocks	18	15	21	14	16	20
Bugger	15	12	16	12	12	17
Bullshit	28	20	31	19	21	30
Cock	60	47	61	43	49	58
Cocksucker	77	66	78	64	69	74
Crap	17	13	18	13	14	20
Cunt	84	78	85	76	80	83
Dick	34	26	34	24	27	34
Faggot	62	51	62	49	55	61
Fuck	71	54	75	50	55	68
Fuck off	71	56	75	52	58	69
Get fucked	75	62	78	58	65	74
God	25	24	26	25	25	27
Jesus	31	30	33	29	30	32
Jesus Christ	38	34	40	34	36	39
Jesus Fucking Christ	79	72	81	70	74	79
Mother Fucker	80	71	83	68	74	79
Nigger	77	70	77	69	74	76
Piss	31	24	33	22	24	32
Piss off	36	25	37	23	26	36
Prick	40	31	42	28	32	41
Shit	30	21	32	20	22	32
Slut	58	46	58	45	52	58
Wanker	48	38	50	35	39	49
Whore	55	43	56	42	49	56
Retard	59	53	59	51	54	59

Acceptability of words used on Radio

The following table summarises the proportion of respondents who considered each word unacceptable across the scenarios that related to radio.

% Totally or Fairly unacceptable Word	People being interviewed (TV or Radio)	Stand-up comedy programmes after 8.30pm (TV or Radio)	Caller to a talkback	Radio host on talkback	Radio host in a breakfast programme	Song played on radio
Arsehole	49	31	48	58	62	50
Balls	25	18	25	30	33	27
Bastard	33	22	36	44	47	37
Bitch	40	26	41	48	51	39
Bloody	15	12	16	21	22	18
Bollocks	18	14	20	24	26	21
Bugger	15	12	16	20	22	18
Bullshit	28	19	29	36	40	31
Cock	60	43	60	65	67	59
Cocksucker	77	64	76	80	82	76
Crap	17	13	19	23	25	21
Cunt	84	76	85	86	87	84
Dick	34	24	34	40	42	36
Faggot	62	49	63	68	70	62
Fuck	71	50	73	79	81	69
Fuck off	71	52	73	79	81	70
Get fucked	75	58	77	82	83	74
God	25	25	27	30	30	27
Jesus	31	29	33	37	38	32
Jesus Christ	38	34	39	44	45	40
Jesus Fucking Christ	79	70	80	84	85	79
Mother Fucker	80	68	82	85	86	80
Nigger	77	69	80	83	83	76
Piss	31	22	33	39	41	34
Piss off	36	23	37	44	46	38
Prick	40	28	42	48	50	42
Shit	30	20	32	39	41	33
Slut	58	45	61	67	69	60
Wanker	48	35	48	55	57	50
Whore	55	42	58	63	65	57
Retard	59	51	59	63	65	59

ACCEPTABILITY OF WORDS ON PAY TELEVISION

Heading

Responses to the questions reported earlier in this document are in relation to freeto-air broadcasting.

To obtain an indication of whether the public has different expectations of language for pay television, respondents were asked:

Would any of your answers have been different if the words were used on television shows that had been on Pay TV (i.e. SKY or TelstraClear)?

Those who indicated that they held different views depending on the broadcaster were then asked to explain why this was the case.

More or Less
acceptable on
Pay TVThe chart below shows that just over two-thirds (68%) hold the same views in relation
to acceptability of language, irrespective of whether the broadcast is free-to-air or
pay-to-view.

About one in six felt that it was more acceptable for swear words to be used on Pay TV.

There is no significant difference in attitude between those who currently subscribe to Pay TV and do not (68% of subscribers also say their views on acceptability of language doe not vary between pay-to-view and free-to-air TV).



The main explanations given by those respondents who felt swear words were more acceptable on Pay TV, were that viewers have made the choice to pay for the broadcast, that there is the ability to block access from children viewing, and that there are fewer people viewing Pay TV. Some verbatim comments that illustrate these points are as follows:

"People choose to watch those shows to a degree that they don't with Free to Air TV. And they have more responsibility to keep minors from seeing inappropriate content."

"There is the option of parents censoring children's use of Pay TV, therefore their exposure to some of the language being used."

"You pay to have more choice of what you view, and therefore think and expect less censorship."

"It's not freely available for most people, reducing coverage."

The predominant explanations given by those respondents who felt swear words were less acceptable on Pay TV was that they don't want to pay to hear bad language and that they expect a higher quality of broadcasting:

"As a paying customer I should not have to listen to this language whereas the other forms of TV are govt run and have censors watching for explicit language and such."

"You are paying for a more prestigious viewing of channels - the selective right to view tasteful programmes should be subscription only I feel. There's enough of foul language on New Zealand streets and at every pub - bar and night club. We need to promote a more healthier choice for all to enjoy."

"If I am paying, the standard should be much higher."

RESPONDENT PROFILE

Behaviour and profile

This section reports the media consumption behaviours of the respondents and their demographic profile.

Media consumption behaviour

Just over half (54%) of respondents have pay television, an increase of 17% since 2005. This result is similar to that from the Nielsen Media Panorama Survey, where 47% indicated that they have pay television. Around one quarter (26%) also report that they have Freeview.

The table below shows the television programmes respondents watched regularly. As found in 2005, News dominates the programmes watched, followed by Current Affairs and Comedy or Sitcoms. **n=500**

TV programmes watched	(% watch regularly) n=1500
News	86
Current Affairs	71
Comedy or Sitcoms	68
Movies	63
Drama	62
Documentary	54
Lifestyle	49
Sports	49
Reality TV and TV based on real events	38
Other	11
None	1

As you would expect, there are some differences amongst the sub groups that watch different types of programmes. For example, females are more likely than males to watch lifestyle (58% cf. 39% males), Reality TV (45% cf. 30%) and Drama (72% cf. 50%). Younger respondents (18-24 years of age) are more likely to watch Movies (76%), Comedy or Sitcoms (85%) and are less likely to watch Lifestyle programmes (25%) and News (72%). Almost all of those aged 65 years and over are likely to watch News programmes (97%).

Since 2005, there has been a slight increase in the consumption of television, with under half (45%) reporting light viewership (up to 15 hours per week). This compares to just over half (51%) in 2005. This is shown in the following table.

Average time spent watching TV	2009 (% watch regularly n=1500	2005 (% watch regularly) n=500
None	1	3
Up to 15 hours	45	51
15-21 hours	34	23
More than 21 hours	19	23
Don't know	1	-

This trend is supported by Nielsen Media information, which indicates that the average amount of time New Zealanders over the age of 10 spend watching television each week has increased slightly from 19 hours 54 minutes in 2006 to 20 hours and 4 minutes in 2008.

Respondents were also asked what kinds of radio stations they listened to regularly. The table below shows that music stations remain the most popular, with over seven in ten respondents listening to music stations on a regular basis. Although this is a slight increase from 2005, the total proportion who listen to radio remains at about the same level as 2005.

As expected, older listeners (aged 65 years and over) are less likely to listen to music stations (37%), but more likely to be listening to National Radio (43%) or Talkback stations (40%).

Radio Stations listened to	2009 (% listen regularly) n=1500
Music stations	71
Talkback	28
National Radio	18
Concert FM	7
Other	10
None	8

The table below shows that the proportion listening to the radio has remained relatively similar to 2005. However, there appears to be a significant decrease in the proportion of heavy listeners (more than 10 hours per week) of 10% to just under three in ten listeners (compared to just under four in ten listeners in 2005).

Again, this trend is supported by Nielsen Media information, which indicates that the average amount of time New Zealanders over the age of 10 spend listening to radio each week has decreased slightly from 13 hours 48 minutes in 2006 to 13 hours and 16 minutes in 2008.

Average time spent listening to the radio	2009 (% listen regularly) n=1500	2005 (% listen regularly) n=500
None	7	6
Up to 4 hours	37	32
4-10 hours	29	23
More than 10 hours	27	37
Don't know	1	1

Demographics

The following shows the demographic profile of the sample of respondents.

Gender	Weighted %	Number of respondents (unweighted)
Male	48	697
Female	52	803
Age		
18 to 24	11	151
25 to 34	20	301
35 to 44	19	295
45 to 54	19	289
55 to 64	19	280
65 and over	13	184
Ethnicity		
New Zealand European	75	1122
Maori	10	149
Pacific	4	62
Asian	9	133
Other	8	121
Household Income	_	
Up to and including \$30,000	13	206
Over \$30,000 to \$50,000	17	263
Over \$50,000 to \$70,000	17	260
Over \$70,000 to \$100,000	19	281
Over \$100,000	19	281
Don't know/Prefer not to answer	14	209
Responsible for Children		
Yes	36	536
No	64	955
Don't know	1	9

Region	Weighted %	Number of respondents (unweighted)
Whangarei/Northland	3	44
Auckland/Rodney	32	507
Hamilton/Waikato	9	114
Tauranga/Bay of Plenty	5	61
Rotorua/Taupo	2	30
Gisborne	0	6
Napier/Hastings/Hawkes Bay	3	44
New Plymouth/Taranaki	2	26
Wanganui/Palmerston North/Manawatu	5	74
Wellington/Wairarapa	14	217
Nelson/Tasman/Marlborough	3	44
Westcoast	1	11
Canterbury/Christchurch	15	223
Dunedin/Otago	4	68
Invercargill/Southland	2	31

We also asked respondents about their religious beliefs. As shown in the table below, just over four in ten are of Christian belief. A further four in ten (39%) report no religion.

This compares to 50% of all New Zealanders from the 2006 census who reported to be Christians and 32% who reported to have no religion. Note that these results are not directly comparable as the New Zealand Census results include those under 18 years of age also.

Religion	Weighted % n=1500
Buddhist	1
Christian	43
Hindu	2
Muslim	0
Jewish	0
Other (specify)	5
No religion	39
Don't know/Prefer not to answer	9

APPENDIX I – YOUR VOICE PANEL

Nielsen's Your Voice Online Panel



Nielsen's 'Your Voice' panel in New Zealand has over 70,000 active members. It is used exclusively by Nielsen for research purposes only. Participant names are not passed to any other parties. There is no inherent bias to users of or subscribers to any particular products or services. It is managed by a dedicated team within Nielsen, located in both Wellington and Auckland offices.

Creation of the panel

Nielsen has extensively leveraged new technology and the web platform to connect with survey participants for its proprietary research since 1999. In recent years, the research industry has seen monumental change in online sampling and online panel management strategies and Nielsen has been leading the way with its 'Your Voice' online panels. Originally started in the Asia Pacific area in Australia in 2003, it has now grown to over 800,000 active panelists worldwide. Globally there are Nielsen proprietary panels in 27 countries. Each country has a dedicated local team who deploys surveys and maintains the panel, while aligning to global protocols and strategies.

Your Voice panels cater to a variety of client requests, with the ability to handle large and complex projects online with fast turnaround time.

Demographics of the panel

Constructing nationally representative samples of New Zealanders using Nielsen's Your Voice online panel is simple and straightforward. Nielsen's New Zealand Your Voice panel includes virtually all demographic groups in the New Zealand community.

Your Voice sample is drawn to meet quota groups and each sample provided follows either the country's General Distribution or Online Distribution, by quota specified dimensions - usually age by gender by location. This is to minimise any bias to any of the quota groups.

Projects are in field for a period of 4 to 14 days, depending on country and available field time. This minimises any bias, in terms of panelist activity, gender, age or location, by allowing selected panelists equal access time to the survey during the maximum field time available for the job.

Recruitment / selection for the panel

Nielsen adopts a mix of online and offline recruitment strategies to recruit panel members from a variety of audiences amongst the general population (broad) and target market (narrow). By using a variety of strategies, Nielsen is able to both grow panels in size, as well as focus strictly on demographic deficiencies via targeted campaigns.

It is not difficult to recruit a lot of panelists. However, it is more difficult to recruit adequately qualified panelists and to ensure breadth of coverage in relevant target groups. In order to ensure that the recruitment is as broad, diversified and exhaustive as possible, we need to use a wide range of different methods and sources of recruitment. Recruitment activities also leverage Nielsen's solid offline data collection infrastructure. Techniques in this area cover opt-in permission to recontact respondents on the back of other telephone, face to face and mail-based projects, online panel invitations on the back of delivery notes for door-to-door and central location projects, website referrals etc. Recruitment strategy is devised on an ongoing basis to ensure optimal diversity and quality and to minimize any distortions that could arise if we used only one or a few methods of recruitment. In addition to the methods mentioned above, we conduct recruitment through magazine and newspaper ads and advertorials, sponsorships and events, outdoor postings etc. Our internal standards require more than 30% of new members to be recruited off-line in the future.

Main recruitment methods in New Zealand include:

- Invitation on completion of regular telephone surveys (such as Nielsen's Omnibus service)
- Response to advertising in mainstream media
- Response to localised letterbox drops or targeted recruitment campaigns (with the objective of establishing usable numbers of panel members in otherwise hard to recruit groups, or in specialist areas)

Members are rewarded for the time they spend answering surveys - incentives include vouchers, movie tickets, pre-pay phone vouchers, as well as the opportunity to donate to a range of charities, plus, entry into a quarterly prize valued at \$2,500. Recruitment also stresses intangible benefits - "the chance to have your say".



Quality control measures are in place to ensure profile data is as reliable as possible:

- Members removed if/when we receive bounce-back emails.
- Database checks are used to find empty cells or illogical data. Members are contacted and the profile updated, or they are invalidated.
- All referrals are checked (ISP addresses or physical addresses) and those breaking the Terms and Conditions are invalidated.
- Distinction between active and inactive panel members. Actives have joined/logged into the website in the last year surveys are not sent to inactives.
- Controlled frequency of survey invitations (including invitations to surveys with similar topic).

APPENDIX II – OTHER UNACCEPTABLE WORDS (VERBATIM)

If there are any words that were not on the list that you would personally find unacceptable if used in broadcasts, please write them here.

(note: these quotes are verbatim and have not been edited in any way).

all fuck words, after 10pm only	Dago Pom
and word that has f*** in it or Jesus or God	dam swine
Any derogatory racial insults	Darky, or similar words for black people.
any fuck words	deuch bag
any jargon swear words are quite rude as well	Dickhead, arse
Any label that degrades people, especially when people who are in a so called "position of power", like television and radion presenters, make offensive comments about others.	Discriminating words depicting hate and promoting crime. dork
any other words to do with handicaps or disabilities	douchbag, dirty cunt, lick pussy
Any racial slur, but it all depends on the specific context	dumb, addict, criminal, good-for-nothing, nerd
any racially deroqatory remarks such as "fuckin dirty jew [or	fanny, Clit, dickhead, arsehole
any other race] queer	fuck head
any racist or degrading words to do with race, colour, religion	fuck Jesus fucking christ cunt motherfucker
Any thing with F.U.C.K in it.	fuck wit dead wood
Any words that puts anyone down, used to make an	Fuckety fucking fucker
assumption of another person, has religious undertones or in any way can describe a persons race or belief. No need for any	fucking cunt motherfucker
of this.	fucking mother fucker
anything demeaning to ethnic groups	fucking, kiddy fucker
Anything that is racially biasedalready in your list is nigger etc	fuckwit
Are you joking? I used to be an infantryman! I know lots of	Fuckwit
words that I would never write down. And I would certainly	fuckwit
not want to hear them on the air. The test is: would I be embarrased if my mother heard me saying them when	general sexual inuendo used on radio is disgusting
interviewed in the 6 o'clock news?	generally all the swering
Arsewipe	Ginga
Ask Hone Harawira as he seems to have a dictionary of inappropriate words	God Almighty fuckn' hell fricken
ass-fucker girls-slit cunt-hole pussy-	Gor Blimey
baldhead (the derogitory term for a white New Zealander)	handicapped disabled
bastard, daggo,	Harawira
black bastard	Но
Black bitch	hoe
bradford	hoe, slapper
"Brian Tamaki" Anything Paul Henry Says	Holy
brown face, whitey	Homo Dyke Queer
bunger	homo, dyke
can't think of at the moment, but anything deemed offensive	Honky
is a no go	Honky, Hairyback, Redneck, Grognard, Nerd, Buttmunch,
cheeky darky, darky, curry muncher, coolie, coconut and similar ethnic/racial slurs.	toerag, Porch monkey, User, American, cheesebucket. Hori
chigger kike any racial slur derogatory slang terms about	Hori, Black c, black b,
people or gender or religion Christ Almighty	i find the harsher swear words more unecseptable on tv before 8:30 pm
cock .cunt . Jesus .God	jackass
cum oven mcnugget	, Jesus fucking christ. Up yours.
Cunt is the only word I feel that is unacceptable in any situation	Jesus in any form Cocksucker, cunt
,	· , · · · · · · , · · · · ·

jigabou

Cunt is the only word I feel that is unacceptable in any situation Cunt. I HATE that word

John Key

kaffir white nigger

Kyke. Wog.

Most 'ist' words such as being racist, sexist, ageist.

Mother Fucker, Chinese Pig, Sex

Mother Fucker, fuck .cunt.

Mother...er

My problem is what is being screened at certain times of the day, I'm not happy with Hell's Kitchen Program that needs to be aired later in the evening or not at all, honestly is it that necessary to curse so much?

Nar, just let them use any word, freedom of speech I say

Nigga cum

nigger kaffir darky

Nigger, faggot, panties

Nigger, nigger-lover, darkie

Niggerdick, Cockmuncher, Teabag.

No, but the 8.30pm "watershed" time is ridiculous, the violence and sexual content that seems to be a free-for-all after that time should be screened WAY later, e.g. 9.30 or 10pm!

none i can think off...but certainly few words are used in cartoons that are too harsh for kids.

not really

Not that I would be willing to write :)

oh my god

"Oh My God" "Mary, Mother of God"

On BattleStar Galatica they use "frackin" or "frack" which means they get to air the programme earlier than 8.30pm. It is obvious that it is just a substitute for the other 'F' word and I don't think it's acceptable.

pakeha

Pakeha

pakeha (seriously, as it means "white pig")

Paki, Coon, Darkie, Ching, Skank

papist ginner frog (for French person) ;

People interviewed or public shown on shows or talks are acceptable to say rude things because not every one is happy to be shown on show but hosts should know how to speak and should say words which are acceptable for the community

pig, raghead

Political Correctness

Poofter/Pussy Licker -I have heard these 2 words on AIR and its totally unacceptable for listeners esp on Radio. The broadcasters may find it 'funny' when they say these on AIR to go with the context of their stories or line BUT TOtally unacceptable for listeners.

Praise Jesus

probably just don't think of it until you hear it

puss

pussy

pussy and words in the same vain

Racial comments, physical comments

racial slang names. Curry - muncher, Bunga, Coconut,

Monkey, Spade, Jockstrap, Pussy, Arsewipe,

RACIST REMARKS BY MAORI SCANDAL MONGERING ELABERATING TO CREATE SENSATIONALISM

Racist words

Racist words

Religion

retard motherfucker handicapped

Retarded Scrubber Arrogant and hideous people, campervan people

screw,holycrap

Serioulsy how long would this list be to include phrases as given in this servey.

shag

Shag, lay/get laid, goddamit

shithead, dickface

Shortland street, anything said by politicians, propoganda

Slapper

slapper hoe wench

slapper, cunt,

snot, fart, bum. (they say this a lot on what now)

son of a bitch, pussy

son of a bitch....couldnt recollect

soo many naughty words to recall

spastic

spastic, honky

split-arsed mechanic

Sun of a bitch

swine, son of a bitch,

the c word! racist (nigger) or abusive (faggot)

The word Ho used as a substitute for Whore/slut/cunt but not in the Santa Ho Ho Ho context. As with most swear words when used sparingly they have a desirable effect in notifying intensity of emotion. The problems come when they become trite/normalised due to overuse or are used to invite negative judgement of the target (usually to justify the appalling treatment being meted out by the source).

tit clit

Tits

to be nazi about something

tosser

Turd

vag and pussy

White Trash.

WOG

wog looney crippled

APPENDIX III – QUESTIONNAIRE

Today we are conducting a very important survey for an organisation called the Broadcasting Standards Authority. The Broadcasting Standards Authority oversees the standards of radio and television broadcasting in New Zealand. To be able to carry out their role, they need to determine what the New Zealand public finds acceptable and unacceptable in terms of what is broadcast on television and radio.

This particular survey is about how acceptable you personally find the use of certain language or swear words on television and radio. There are no right or wrong answers; we just need your honest, personal opinion. While some people may find some of the words included in this survey offensive, and others may not, we strongly encourage everyone to take part so that we provide the Broadcasting Standards Authority with an accurate picture of what New Zealanders think.

For this survey, please note that that we want to focus only on what is acceptable in broadcasting that is free to the viewer or listener such as TVOne, TV2, TVNZ6, TVNZ7, TV3, C4, Maori TV and Prime TV, We are not asking you about what is acceptable on pay television such as those channels you can only view if you have a subscription to SKY TV or TelstraClear.

Q1 What kinds of TV programmes do you watch regularly? [MA]	Code	Route
Comedy or sitcoms	01	
Current Affairs (e.g. Close Up, Campbell Live, 20/20, 60 Minutes, Native Affairs)	02	
Documentary (e.g. Documentary New Zealand)	03	
News (e.g. One News, 3 News, Nightline, Prime News, Te Kaea)	04	
Lifestyle (e.g. gardening, do-it-yourself, cooking)	05	
Sports	06	
Movies	07	
Reality TV and TV based on real events, where a lot of the content is spontaneous and not scripted (e.g. Treasure Island, Big Brother, Border Patrol)	08	
Drama	09	
Other	10	
None	11	
Don't know	12	

Firstly, a few questions about listening to radio and watching television.

Q2	What kinds of radio stations do you listen to regularly?[MA]	Code	Route
	Concert FM	1	
	Music stations (e.g. More FM, Classic Hits, The Rock)	2	
	National Radio	3	
	Talkback (e.g. Radio Pacific, Newstalk ZB)	4	
	Other	5	
	None	6	
	Don't know	7	

Q3	Do you have any of the following in your household? [MA]	Code	Route
	Pay TV (e.g. Sky, Sky Digital, TelstraClear)	1	
	Freeview	2	
	Internet access	3	
	None of these	4	

Q4	About how many hours per week on average do you personally spend watching television? [SA]	Code	Route
	None	1	
	Up to 15 hours	2	
	15-21 hours	3	
	More than 21 hours	4	
	Don't know	5	

Q5	About how many hours per week on average do you personally spend listening to the radio? [SA]	Code	Route
	None	1	
	Up to 4 hours	2	
	4-10 hours	3	
	More than 10 hours	4	
	Don't know	5	

Q6a ROTATE WORDS AND OBTAIN RATING FOR ALL WORDS

Thinking now about language and swear words, you will see a number of words, which some people might find acceptable and some might find unacceptable. Remember there are no right or wrong answers, we just want your honest opinion.

Firstly, imagine each word being used in a television movie, in a scene where police have chased and are arresting a criminal. The criminal is swearing at the police. The television movie is screened after 8.30pm.

How acceptable or unacceptable do you personally feel each word is in this situation. [SA]

				Q6a		
		(H1) Totally acceptable	(H2) Fairly acceptable	(H3) Neither	(H4) Fairly unacceptable	(H5) Totally unacceptable
(R1)	Arsehole	1	2	3	4	5
(R2)	Balls	1	2	3	4	5
(R3)	Bastard	1	2	3	4	5
(R4)	Bitch	1	2	3	4	5
(R5)	Bloody	1	2	3	4	5
(R6)	Bollocks	1	2	3	4	5
(R7)	Bugger	1	2	3	4	5
(R8)	Bullshit	1	2	3	4	5

continued.

		(H1)	(H2)	(H3)	(H4)	(H5)
(R9)	Cock	1	2	3	4	5
(R10)	Cocksucker	1	2	3	4	5
(R11)	Crap	1	2	3	4	5
(R12)	Cunt	1	2	3	4	5
(R13)	Dick	1	2	3	4	5
(R14)	Faggot	1	2	3	4	5
(R15)	Fuck	1	2	3	4	5
(R16)	Fuck off	1	2	3	4	5
(R17)	Get fucked	1	2	3	4	5
(R18)	God	1	2	3	4	5
(R19)	Jesus	1	2	3	4	5
(R20)	Jesus Christ	1	2	3	4	5
(R21)	Jesus Fucking Christ	1	2	3	4	5
(R22)	Mother fucker	1	2	3	4	5

Q7	Now please consider a number of different types of programmes and situations. Just click on each when you have read it. The remaining questions are about these different programmes and situations.	Code	Route
	Again, just a reminder to think only about broadcasting that is free to the viewer or listener such as TVOne, TV2, TVNZ6, TVNZ7, TV3, C4, Maori TV and Prime TV and not broadcasting you pay for such as SKY TV or TelstraClear. [SA]		
	People being interviewed or asked to give opinions in news, documentaries and current events programmes on TV or radio	01	
	In a television drama screened after 8.30pm (e.g. Grey's Anatomy, Criminal Minds)	02	
	In a television drama or comedy screened between 7pm and 8.30pm (e.g. Shortland Street, Coronation Street, Two and a Half Men)	03	
	Comedians in stand-up comedy programmes played on radio or shown on TV after 8.30pm	04	
	In a reality television programme where a lot of the content is spontaneous and does not follow a script (e.g. Hell's Kitchen, Border Patrol, The Apprentice)	05	
	In a music video played on television	06	
	When used by a caller to a talkback radio station	07	
	When used by a radio host on a talkback radio station	08	
	When used by a radio host in a breakfast programme	09	
	When used in a song played on radio	10	

Q8

With the 10 programmes or situations you have just considered in mind, please now sort the following words into three buckets, depending on whether you feel a particular word is totally acceptable in any of these situations, totally unacceptable in any of these situations or whether it depends on the situation being considered.

Just click on the word, then drag it and and drop it into one of the three buckets. You can put none, some or all of the words in any bucket. [MA]

	Q8a	Q8b	Q8c
	Totally unacceptable in ALL scenarios	Depends on the scenario	Totally acceptable in ALL scenarios
Arsehole	01	01	01
Balls	02	02	02
Bastard	03	03	03
Bitch	04	04	04
Bloody	05	05	05
Bollocks	06	06	06
Bugger	07	07	07
Bullshit	08	08	08
Cock	09	09	09
Cocksucker	10	10	10
Сгар	11	11	11
Cunt	12	12	12
Dick	13	13	13
Faggot	14	14	14
Fuck	15	15	15
Fuck off	16	16	16
Get fucked	17	17	17
God	18	18	18
Jesus	19	19	19
Jesus Christ	20	20	20
Jesus Fucking Christ	21	21	21
Mother fucker	22	22	22
Nigger	23	23	23
Piss	24	24	24
Piss off	25	25	25
Prick	26	26	26
Shit	27	27	27
Slut	28	28	28
Wanker	29	29	29
Whore	30	30	30

Q9 L	LOOP WORDS - Show only those words that were selected for "Depends on the scenario". [SA]	Code	Route
A	Arsehole	01	
E	Balls	02	
E	Bastard	03	
E	Bitch	04	
E	Bloody	05	
E	Bollocks	06	
E	Bugger	07	
E	Bullshit	08	
(Cock	09	
(Cocksucker	10	
(Crap	11	
(Cunt	12	
Ε	Dick	13	
F	=aggot	14	
F	Fuck	15	
F	Euck off	16	
(Get fucked	17	
(God	18	
_	Jesus	19	
_	Jesus Christ	20	
_	Jesus Fucking Christ	21	
١	Mother fucker	22	
١	Nigger	23	
F	Piss	24	
F	Diss off	25	
F	Prick	26	
0	Shit	27	
ç	Slut	28	
V	Nanker	29	
V	Nhore	30	

Q10	Insert Loop words from Q9 . How acceptable to	le to you is \leftarrow LOOP WORD \rightarrow in this scenario? [SA]				
				Q10a		
		(H1) Totally acceptable	(H2) Fairly acceptable	(H3) Neither	(H4) Fairly unacceptable	(H5) Totally unacceptable
(R1)	People being interviewed or asked to give opinions in news, documentaries and current events programmes on TV or radio	1	2	3	4	5
(R2)	In a television drama screened after 8.30pm (e.g. Grey's Anatomy, Criminal Minds)	1	2	3	4	5
(R3)	In a television drama or comedy screened between 7pm and 8.30pm (e.g. Shortland Street, Coronation Street, Two and a half men).	1	2	3	4	5
(R4)	Comedians in stand-up comedy programmes played on radio or shown on TV after 8.30pm	1	2	3	4	5
(R5)	In a reality television programme where a lot of the content is spontaneous and does not follow a script (e.g. Hell's Kitchen, Border Patrol, The Apprentice)	1	2	3	4	5
(R6)	In a music video played on television	1	2	3	4	5
(R7)	When used by a caller to a talkback radio station	1	2	3	4	5
(R8)	When used by a radio host on a talkback radio station	1	2	3	4	5
(R9)	When used by a radio host in a breakfast programme	1	2	3	4	5
(R10)	When used in a song played on radio	1	2	3	4	5

Q11	Would any of your answers have been different if the words were used on television shows that had been on pay TV (i.e. SKY or Telstra Clear)? Would you say the words would be? [SA]	Code	Route
	More acceptable on pay TV	1	
	Less acceptable on pay TV	2	
	Neither	3	Q13
	Don't know/Not sure	4	Q13

Q13

If there are any words that were not on the list that you would personally find unacceptable if used in broadcasts, please write them here.

Q12 IF MORE/LESS ACCEPTABLE ASK: Can you please explain why you say it would be ←More/Less→ acceptable on pay TV?

Q14	Finally, to make sure we have a good cross-section of New Zealanders, please select your gender. [SA]	Code	Route
Male		1	
Female		2	

Q15 Which of the following age groups do you come into? [SA]	Code	Route
18 to 19 years	01	
20 to 24 years	02	
25 to 29 years	03	
30 to 34 years	04	
35 to 39 years	05	
40 to 44 years	06	
45 to 49 years	07	
50 to 54 years	08	
55 to 59 years	09	
60 to 64 years	10	
65 to 69 years	11	
70 to 74 years	12	
75 to 79 years	13	
80 years and over	14	
Prefer not to answer	15	

Q16 Which of the following ethnic groups do you belong to? [MA]	Code	Route
New Zealand European	01	
Maori	02	
Samoan	03	
Cook Island Maori	04	
Tongan	05	
Niuean	06	
Tokelauan	07	
Fijian	08	
Other Pacific Island	09	
Chinese	10	
Indian	11	
Other (please specify)	12	
Prefer not to answer	13	

Q17	And which of these groups does your combined household income fall into, including yours and your partner's or anyone else who lives with you? [SA]	Code	Route
Up to a	Up to and including \$10,000		
Over \$10,000 to \$20,000		02	
Over \$20,000 to \$30,000		03	
Over \$30,000 to \$40,000		04	
Over \$40,000 to \$50,000		05	
Over \$50,000 to \$70,000		06	
Over \$70,000 to \$80,000		07	
Over \$8	30,000 to \$100,000	08	
Over \$100,000		09	
Don't know/Prefer not to answer		10	

Q18	And which of these groups does your combined household income fall into, including yours and your partner's or anyone else who lives with you? [SA]	Code	Route
Yes	Yes		
No		2	
Don't know		3	

Q19 What is your religion? [SA]	Code	Route
Buddhist		
Christian		
Hindu		
Muslim		
Jewish	5	
Other (specify)		
No religion	7	
Don't know/Prefer not to answer		

Q20 Which of the following region do you live in? [SA]	Code	Route
Whangarei/Northland		
Auckland/Rodney		
Hamilton/Waikato		
Tauranga/Bay of Plenty		
Rotorua/Taupo		
Gisborne		
Napier/Hastings/Hawkes Bay		
New Plymouth/Taranaki		
Wanganui/Palmerston North/Manawatu		
Wellington/Wairarapa		
Nelson/Tasman/Marlborough		
Westcoast	12	
Canterbury/Christchurch		
Dunedin/Otago		
Invercargill/Southland		

APPENDIX IV – NIELSEN QUALITY ASSURANCE

Quality Assurance	Nielsen is committed to the principles of Total Quality Management, and in 1995 achieved certification under the International Standards Organisation ISO 9001 code.
	The company maintains rigorous standards of quality control in all areas of operation. We believe no other commercial research organisation in New Zealand can provide clients with the level of confidence in survey data that we are able to. Furthermore, Nielsen is routinely and regularly subjected to independent external auditing of all aspects of its survey operations.
ISO 9001	In terms of this project, all processes involved are covered by our ISO 9001 procedures. As part of these procedures, all stages of this research project (including all inputs/ outputs) are to be approved by the Project Leader.
	In March 2007 Nielsen also adopted the standards specified in AS20252.
Code of Ethics	All research conducted by Nielsen conforms with the Code of Professional Behaviour of the Market Research Society of New Zealand.

APPENDIX IV – COMPANY INFORMATION

Company Profile

Nielsen Corporation is the world's leading provider of market research, information and analysis to the consumer products and service industries. More than 9,000 clients in over 90 countries rely on Nielsen's dedicated professionals to measure competitive marketplace dynamics, to understand consumer attitudes and behaviour, and to develop advanced analytical insights that generate increased sales and profits.

The company provides four principal market research services:

Retail measurement

Includes continuous tracking of consumer purchases at the point of sale through scanning technology and in-store audits. Nielsen delivers detailed information on actual purchases, market shares, distribution, pricing and merchandising and promotional activities.

Consumer panel research

Includes detailed information on purchases made by household members, as well as their retail shopping patterns and demographic profiles.

Consumer Research

Includes quantitative and qualitative studies that generate information and insights into consumers' attitudes and purchasing behaviour, customer satisfaction, brand awareness and advertising effectiveness.

Media measurement

Includes information on international television and radio audience ratings, advertising expenditure measurement and print readership measurement that serves as the essential currency for negotiating advertising placement and rates.

In addition, Nielsen markets a broad range of advanced software and modeling & analytical services. These products help clients integrate large volumes of information, evaluate it, make judgements about their growth opportunities and plan future marketing and sales campaigns.

As the industry leader, we constantly work to set the highest standards in the quality and value of our services, and the passion and integrity of our people bring to helping clients succeed.

Our professionals worldwide are committed to giving each of our clients the exact blend of information and service they need to create competitive advantage: The right information, covering the right markets, with the most valuable information management tools, all supported by the expertise and professionalism of the best market research teams in the industry.

BROADCASTING STANDARDS AUTHORITY PO BOX 9213 | WELLINGTON 6141 | NEW ZEALAND PH: (04) 382 9508 | FAX: (04) 382 9543 EMAIL: INFO@BSA.GOVT.NZ | INFOLINE: 0800 366 996

WWW.BSA.GOVT.NZ